The Ai2 Climate Emulator: Capabilities, Challenges and Opportunities

Oliver Watt-Meyer and the Ai2 Climate Modeling team





Spencer Clark James Duncan









Anna Kwa

Jeremy McGibbon Andre Perkins

dre Perkins Oli Watt-Meyer

Elynn Wu

☆Ai2

Brian Henn

External partners



Who are we?

Allen Institute for AI (Ai2): a Seattle-based nonprofit funded by the Paul Allen estate

Building breakthrough AI to solve the world's biggest problems

Ai2 Climate Modeling:

- We sit within "AI for the Environment" group at Ai2
- Goal: Use machine learning to make faster, better, and easier-to-use climate models
- Partners: NOAA/GFDL and DOE/LLNL (km-scale atmosphere and climate modelers), M²LINES and NVIDIA (fellow machine learners) & student interns

Physically based weather and climate modeling





Real system we'd like to represent in a...

form compatible with computational prediction

How can ML help weather/climate models?

Make global weather and climate models:

- more accurate
- faster
- more affordable/accessible

Three general strategies (atmospheric focus):

- ML for post-processing bias correction and/or downscaling (e.g. *McGibbon et al. 2024*)
- Hybrid: ML replaces/corrects parts of the atmospheric model (e.g. *Watt-Meyer et al. 2021; Kochkov et al. 2023*)
- Full model emulation: machine learning of entire global atmospheric evolution

What is the ML responsible for?



ML-based weather forecasts are state of the art

- 2019–2022: pioneering work showing potential for ML-based global weather forecasting trained on reanalysis (e.g. *Dueben and Bauer, Weyn et al. DLWP*)
- 2022–2023: Pangu, GraphCast, etc. demonstrate improved 3-10 day deterministic weather prediction over operational benchmarks
- **2024-**: development of probabilistic (e.g. GenCast, AIFS ENS) and coupled ocean-atmosphere models



GraphCast: Lam et al., 2023 (Science)

Typical strategy for ML weather prediction



- U-Nets, Graph neural nets, neural operators, SWIN transformers, diffusion models
- 10⁶ 10⁹ learnable parameters
- Train on ~40 years of ERA5 in days to weeks
- 10-day forecast on a single GPU in a few seconds
- Large efficiency gains from long time step and GPU-optimized matrix math

ML forecasts are often not stable and/or accurate beyond ~2 weeks



Weather vs. climate emulation

- ML weather emulators are trained on decades of global reanalysis, which are most reliable since 1980
- The goal of climate models is to predict past and future climates that may lie well outside this historical range.
- Data-driven ML models are generally unreliable for extrapolation, because they are not directly grounded in physical principles.
- Our goal: Train an ML emulator of an excellent physically-based global climate model for 100-10000x speedup of routine simulations, ensembles, downscaling...

Our approach: Ai2 Climate Emulator (ACE)

- Derive climate as statistics of simulated weather, like physics-based GCMs
- Train on climate model output in addition to ERA5
 - Want to use data from diverse range of climates (global warming etc.)
- Start simple, then increase complexity:
 - Use relatively coarse 1° (100 km) grid and 8 finite volume terrain-following layers
 - Initially we used climatological SST and fixed external forcing
 - ACE2: varying external forcings (historical and increased CO_2)
- Focus on long-term stability and climate accuracy



Loss function: mean-squared error of 6-hour forecast computed over all the output variables (for ACE2, accumulated over 2 forward steps)

Input at time t





Temperature





(forcing + prognostic vars) x 180 x 360



Surface pressure

Wind





Prediction of t + 6 hours

Humidity



Temperature





Wind

(prognostic + diagnostic vars) x 180 x 360

*SFNO: Spherical Fourier Neural Operator from Showing subset of inputs/outputs Bonev et al., 2023 arxiv.org/abs/2306.03838



Our variable set



Prognostic:

 \rightarrow horizontal winds, temperature, specific total water, skin temperature of land/sea-ice, surface pressure

Forcing:

 \rightarrow insolation, sea surface temperature, surface type fractions, elevation (and sometimes CO2)

Diagnostic:

 \rightarrow precipitation, TOA and surface radiative fluxes, surface turbulent heat/moisture fluxes



ACE training data

Train ACE on 6-hourly 3D data—either NOAA FV3GFS/SHiELD model or ERA5 reanalysis

Regrid to 8 vertical layers on a 1° Gaussian grid for SFNO compatibility, speed, less storage

Reference datasets:

- 1. ACE: Annually-repeating SST forcing (climSST)
 - 100 years of training data, 10 years of validation
 - Each year is an independent sample of the same climate forcing
- 2. ACE2: Historical SSTs (AMIP)
 - ERA5 or an SHiELD AMIP Ensemble
- 3. ACE2-SOM: Slab ocean with CO₂ forcing
 - Present-day CO_2 , 2xCO₂ and 4xCO₂, with a simple slab ocean

ACE v1: Stable, accurate seasonal cycle!



Indefinitely stable 800 simulated years/day on one A100 GPU

Realistic weather variability

Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) for first 100 days of simulation

ACE (prediction)

FV3GFS (target)



Mostly realistic OLR despite model not explicitly prognosing clouds! But also see evidence of overly smooth prediction.

Physical consistency



 $\frac{\partial \text{TWP}}{\partial t} = E - P + \frac{\partial \text{TWP}}{\partial t} \bigg|_{\text{ref}}$

Plot shows a 6-hr time step 1 year into simulation

Watt-Meyer et al. (2023)

 $\text{TWP} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{k} q_k \Delta p_k$

adv

Why is ACE more stable and accurate than weather emulators?

- Architecture makes a difference
 - SFNO is very stable

�Ai2

- Loss weighting of predicted fields
 - Accounts for different timescales of different variables
- Choice of variables, especially forcing inputs including SST
 - Weather emulators lock predicted ocean changes to weather, allowing error build-up
 - Insolation and topography are important and also used by some weather emulators



ACE2

- Built-in dry air and moisture conservation
- Architectural improvements in capacity, loss function, normalization
- Trained and tested on AMIP (historical SST variability)
- Add CO_2 as a forcing variable
- 1500 simulated years per day on an H100 GPU

Ai2 Watt-Meyer et al. 2024, http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.11268, submitted to NPJ Climate & Atm Sci

ACE2: historical SST warming

Two new training datasets spanning 1940-2020:

- 1. ERA5 → **ACE2-ERA5**
- 2. C96 SHIELD AMIP simulations \rightarrow ACE2-SHIELD

Training setup adds CO₂ as input and some new diagnostic outputs



ACE-climSST (the previous model trained only on climSST dataset) fails to get trend when forced with historical SST.

ACE2 has low time-mean AMIP biases on ERA5/SHiELD



ACE2's biases relative to its target dataset are much smaller than the differences between SHiELD and ERA5.

ACE2 responds accurately to El Niño SST variability

a) ACE2-ERA5 RMSE: 0.46 (0.47, 0.48)



d) ERA5



b) ACE2-SHIELD RMSE: 0.48 (0.49, 0.47)



e) SHiELD, RMSE: 0.54



c) ACE-climSST RMSE: 0.64 (0.64, 0.69)





Surface precipitation response to Nino3.4 [mm/day/K]

2001-2010 period

ACE2 "tropical cyclone" distribution

Caveat: for ERA5, SHiELD and ACE2-ERA5 we are using a cyclone tracking applied to 1° resolution data.

Global # of cyclones is highly tunable based on parameters used for cyclone tracking, so hard to compare directly to IBTrACS.

But differences between ERA5, SHIELD and ACE2-ERA5, as well as basin-to-basin differences, are robust to changes in tracking. a) IBTrACS (n/year=101.4)



c) SHiELD (n/year=87.0)



b) ERA5 (n/year=51.4)



d) ACE2-ERA5 (n/year=66.2)



2001-2010 period

ACE2 tropical precipitation variability



Eastward propagation of MJO-like variability

ACE2 polar stratospheric variability



Zonal mean zonal wind averaged from ~50hPa to TOA

2001-2010 period

ACE2 + interactive slab ocean (ACE2-SOM)

- Generate training/validation data from three 50-year simulations:
 - 1xCO₂
 - 2xCO₂
 - 4xCO₂
- Train ACE-SOM with CO_2 as an input.

Clark et al. 2024, <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.04418</u>, submitted to *JGR-ML*



ACE2-SOM is stable and accurate in multiple climates



- Results are from five-member initial condition ensembles of 10-year 100 km SHiELD-SOM (target model) and ACE2-SOM simulations in each climate.
- ACE2-SOM did not see data from the $3xCO_2$ climate during training.

ACE2-SOM is stable and accurate in multiple climates



ACE2-SOM global warming pattern matches the physics-based model, capturing robust features like amplified warming over land.

Out of sample test: 2% CO₂ increase per year



- 70-year rollout ramping from 1xCO₂ to 4xCO₂ concentration
- Surface variables look good; stratosphere has a spurious climate jump
- Fixed in ACE3 by better learning CO₂ impacts on radiative heating

Out of sample test: abrupt 4xCO₂ increase



- ML-controlled fields (except ocean temperature) unrealistically jump to $4xCO_2$ regime.
- Ocean temperature, aided by prescribed thermal inertia, warms realistically slowly.
- ACE2-SOM still finds the right steady state, helped by its equilibrium $4xCO_2$ training.

ACE2 speed and computational cost

• Training time for each ACE2 model was about **4.5 days on eight 80GB NVIDIA H100s (~850 GPU-hours)**

Inference throughput and energy cost

	C96 SHIELD	ACE2
Hardware	864 CPU cores (AMD EPYC 7H12)	1 80GB NVIDIA H100
Simulated years per wall clock day	~12	~1500
Energy cost per simulated year [Wh]	8250	11.2

Other applications of fast climate model emulators

- Seasonal prediction
- Green's function experiments
- Local climate predictions on the fly







Seasonal prediction with ACE2-ERA5

- Work led by Chris Kent, Adam Scaife et al. at Met Office using our publicly available ACE2-ERA5 model
- Use persistence SSTs (since ACE doesn't have an ocean model yet...) and initialize ensemble forecasts on November 1 to predict DJF averages
- Skill in many respects is approaching that of Met Office's GloSea prediction model



Caveat: only 2001-2010 is outside the training period of ACE!

Seasonal prediction with ACE2-ERA5

• Regions of globe with predictive skill are mostly similar between ACE2 and GloSea



Assessing importance of SST warming pattern

- "Green's function" experiments have become popular to do with AGCMs
- Involves hundreds of multi-decade simulations, each perturbing SST in a small region of the globe and assessing TOA radiative response (Bloch-Johnson et al. 2024)
- 2000 to 4000 years of simulation required in total!
- Can the massive cost-savings of Al emulators help us here?



Assessing importance of SST warming pattern

- Strategy: train ACE on an AMIP simulation with DOE's EAMv3, then do full suite of Green's function protocol experiments and compare (not training directly on Green's function experiments!)
- ACE generally captures the expected responses but details can differ in some regions



See also <u>Van Loon et al. 2025</u> which applies Green's function methodology to published ACE models including ACE2-ERA5.

Local climate data on the fly

- Al climate emulators will make it far cheaper and easier to:
 - do simulations across a wide range of future scenarios
 de-bias and downscale on the fly for regions/periods of interest

Example 6hr rainfall prediction at 100km



Example 6hr rainfall prediction at 3km



Local climate data on the fly

- We are developing a downscaling module that can be plugged into ACE
 - Will be trained on output from a global 3-km simulation with GFDL's X-SHiELD model



⇔Ai2

25km Coarse Input



Target 3km X-SHiELD



Initial downscaling results

8x downscaling (25 \rightarrow 3km) of tropical cyclone in NW Atlantic with diffusion modeling

Generated 3km output



Summary



- The Ai2 Climate Emulator (ACE) is a stable, accurate ML-based atmospheric model that is nearing suitability for climate prediction
- Faithfully reproduces reference model's climate
 - Skillful in climSST, AMIP, and slab-ocean configurations
 - Captures forced response to greenhouse gas and SST perturbations (ENSO, Green's functions)
- Easy to use and 100-1000x faster to run than the reference model!
- Ongoing work
 - Energy conservation & accurate learning of CO₂ effects on radiative heating
 - Coupling to an emulator of a dynamical ocean model
 - Downscaling module for assessing local impacts

Open-source code, **data and model checkpoints**: <u>github.com/ai2cm/ace</u>

ACE: Watt-Meyer et al. 2023; Duncan et al. 2024; ACE2: Watt-Meyer et al. 2024; ACE2-SOM: Clark et al. 2024